Lamar Consolidated Independent School District Morgan Elementary 2024-2025 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Mission: Everyone. Everyday.

Vision

We aim to INSPIRE, EDUCATE, and CELEBRATE.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	3
Demographics	3
Student Learning	5
Morgan Data Overview 2023-2024	. 5
School Processes & Programs	. 10
Perceptions	. 12
Priority Problem Statements	. 13
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	. 14
Goals	. 16
Goal 1: By June 2025, Masters level performance in 3rd - 5th grades will increase by 10% as measured by the Reading and Math STAAR Assessment.	. 16
Goal 2: By June 2025, at least 30% of our 3rd - 5th grade special education students will score Meets Grade Level on the Reading, and 25% will score Meets Grade Level on the Mathematics STAAR test to increase preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.	25
Goal 3: By June 2025 Emergent 60% of Emergent Bilingual students will perform at or above grade level to close the achievement gap at Morgan.	. 30
Goal 4: By June 2025, 90% of Kindergarten, 1st, Second Grade students will be reading at or above grade level as measured by end of year MAP fluency to ensure preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.	32
Goal 5: By May 2025, fifth-grade students will score 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters as measured by the 2025 Science STAAR assessment.	. 39
State Compensatory	. 47
Budget for Morgan Elementary	. 47
Personnel for Morgan Elementary	. 47
Site-Based Advisory Committee	. 48
Campus Funding Summary	. 49

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Fletcher Morgan Jr. Elementary opened in the Fall of 2021 as the 29th elementary school in Lamar Consolidated ISD. The need for Morgan Elementary is a direct result of the fast growth in Fulshear, and the northwest section of the school district. Morgan Elementary serves students in Pre-Kindergarten through 5th grade. The student demographics are as follows:

Morgan Elem Demographics				
Total Students	1,195			
Hispanic	25.86%			
American Indian/Alaskian Native	0.67%			
Asian	9.12%			
African American	20.50%			
White	39.58%			
Two Or More	4.1%			
Eco Dis	34.23%			
ЕВ	14.98%			
SPED	16.32%			
GT	8.12%			
At Risk	32.96%			

Demographics Strengths

The rich cultural diversity of our school is a direct reflection of the cultural diversity found in Fort Bend County as well as in Fulshear. The teachers and staff of Morgan Elementary are trained in supporting students who receive English Language support services with sheltered instructional strategies. Our special education teachers are current on the instructional strategies needed to promote growth of students who receive special education services. All teachers have

training in supporting the varying needs of all students through solid Tier I instructional best practices.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: The Fulshear area is growing rapidly. Growth and student enrollment is expected to double in the next five years. **Root Cause:** The Greater Fulshear area is rapidly developing with the availability of land and master planned communities moving into the area.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Morgan Data Overview 2023-2024

STAAR

3rd Grade

	Mathematics				Reading			
	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters
Morgan Elementary	184	83%	51%	17%	185	90%	59%	31%
Economic Disadvantage	50	76%	40%	8%	51	90%	51%	25%
Asian	19	89%	79%	32%	19	89%	74%	58%
Black/African American	39	85%	54%	10%	39	87%	59%	36%
Hispanic	47	70%	34%	11%	48	83%	44%	8%
Two or More Races	11	100%	64%	9%	11	91%	82%	45%
White	67	87%	49%	22%	67	97%	61%	36%
Currently Emergent Bilingual	29	86%	59%	17%	29	86%	59%	24%
Special Ed Indicator	41	54%	12%	0%	41	73%	15%	5%

4th Grade

	Mathematics					Rea	ding	
	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters
Morgan Elementary	175	75%	48%	21%	176	90%	63%	30%
Economic Disadvantage	33	67%	45%	12%	50	78%	42%	12%
Asian	11	91%	73%	27%	11	82%	83%	27%

	Mathematics				Reading				
	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	
Black/African American	40	75%	53%	30%	40	93%	60%	30%	
Hispanic	48	71%	35%	15%	49	84%	59%	27%	
Two or More Races									
White	67	81%	54%	22%	67	97%	67%	37%	
Currently Emergent Bilingual	23	70%	35%	4%	24	71%	54%	13%	
Special Ed Indicator	31	32%	10%	3%	31	65%	19%	6%	

5th Grade

	Mathematics			Reading				Science		
	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Total Students	Approaches
Morgan Elementary	181	90%	66%	29%	180	92%	76%	45%	181	74%
Economic Disadvantage	51	80%	43%	12%	50	82%	56%	34%	51	53%
Asian	11	100%	100%	64%	11	91%	82%	64%	11	83%
Black/African American	22	73%	55%	18%	21	71%	67%	48%	22	50%
Hispanic	42	88%	55%	12%	42	86%	62%	29%	42	69%
Two or More Races	11	100%	82%	36%	11	100%	91%	64%	11	82%
White	94	93%	67%	34%	94	99%	82%	48%	66	92%
Currently Emergent Bilingual										
Special Ed Indicator	27	70%	33%	15%	26	73%	46%	19%	27	44%

GRA Levels 4th Nine Weeks

Grade Level	Below	On Grade Level	Above Grade Level		
Kinder 20%		36%	44%		
Economic Disadvantage	33%	33%	33%		

Grade Level	Below	On Grade Level	Above Grade Level
American Indian	100%	0%	0%
Asian	7%	14%	79%
Black/African American	23%	30%	47%
Hispanic	35%	45%	20%
Native Hawaiian			
Two or More Races	0%	100%	0%
White	16%	41%	43%
Emergent Bilingual	31%	23%	46%
SPED	38%	38%	23%
First	18%	10%	72%
Economic Disadvantage	33%	12%	56%
American Indian			
Asian	10%	10%	80%
Black/African American	5%	26%	68%
Hispanic	34%	2%	64%
Native Hawaiian	0%	0%	100%
Two or More Races	25%	0%	75%
White	14%	11%	75%
Emergent Bilingual	39%	9%	52%
SPED	47%	11%	42%
Second	10%	3%	87%
Economic Disadvantage	15%	7%	78%
American Indian	0%	0%	100%
Asian	7%	0%	93%
Black/African American	26%	7%	67%
Hispanic	9%	3%	88%
Native Hawaiian			
Two or More Races	0%	0%	100%
White	6%	3%	90%
Emergent Bilingual	13%	6%	81%

Grade Level	Below	On Grade Level	Above Grade Level
SPED	56%	6%	39%

Student Learning Strengths

2023-2024

Guided Reading Assessment (GRA) Strengths:

K

• 78% of Kindergarten students are reading on or above grade level

1st Grade

• 82 % of 1st-grade students are reading on or above grade level

2nd Grade

- 90% of 2nd grade students are reading on or above grade level
- **MAP Strengths:**
 - 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 5th grades exceeded the projected growth in Reading from Winter 2024 to Spring 2024.
 - 1st, 2nd, and 3rd grades exceeded the projected growth in Math from Winter 2024 to Spring 2024.

STAAR Strengths:

3rd Grade Reading/Math

- Economically Disadvantaged students grew in Reading in all categories.
- Economically Disadvantaged students grew in Math in Approaches and Meets.
- African American students grew in Reading and Math in all categories.
- Emergent Bilingual students grew in Reading and Math in all categories.

4th Grade Reading/Math

- Economically Disadvantaged students grew in Reading in Approaches and Meets.
- African American students grew in Reading and Math in all categories.
- SPED students grew in Reading in the Approaches category.

• Emergent Bilingual students grew in the Meets category of Reading and the Approaches category of Math.

5th Grade Reading/Math/Science

- Economically Disadvantaged students grew in Reading and Math in Meets and Masters.
- · African American students grew in the Meets category of Reading and the Meets and Masters categories of Math and Science.
- SPED students grew in Reading in all categories and the Meets and Masters categories of Math.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause:** High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause:** High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

As we continue to grow as a campus, Morgan Elementary will be using the following processes and programs:

- CHAMPs
- PBIS
- Solution Focused Model
- MTSS Model
- PLC
- Character Counts
- Quality Tier I Instruction
- New Teacher Mentorship (campus/district level)
- Professional Development
- Instructional Modeling
- Panther Time
- Panther Watch
- No Place for Hate
- Learning Walks
- Innovative School Day

School Processes & Programs Strengths

As we continue to grow at Morgan Elementary we will be able to consistently use the following processes and programs:

- CHAMPs campus wide implementation of student behavior management system will consistently support students at the Tier I level.
- PBIS will serve to promote positive reinforcement of student behavior.
- Solution Focused Model common framework to approach and resolve challenges.
- MTSS Model staff will ensure that all students' needs are met.
- PLC school wide collaborative process for grade levels to share instruction practices, analyze student performance, and generate and learn strategies for instruction.
- Character Counts district wide education to build students with strong character.
- Quality Tier I instruction decreases the number who need Tiered intervention support.
- New Teacher Mentorship (campus/district level) help support and retain new teachers for following years.
- Professional Development continuous learning to support high standard instruction for student achievement.
- Instructional Modeling effective implementation of the instructional coaching cycle.
- Panther Time campus wide invention and enrichment

- Panther Watch 6 week progress monitoring cycle
- No Place for Hate- anti-bullying program
- Learning Walks- opportunities for teachers to observe their peers
- Innovative School Day- 1/2 day every other week for additional planning for teachers

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Campus processes and programs are being refined as the staff and student population grows and changes. **Root Cause:** The natural effects of change in staff & students and continuing to refine training on programs and processes with existing staff at Morgan Elementary.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

The team reviewed the Campus Climate Survey which is based on the 2023-2024 school year.

As we continue to grow as a campus, Morgan Elementary will focus on:

- building and maintaining positive relationships with all stakeholders
- create a positive atmosphere that celebrates success with a deep focus on teaching and learning
- providing clear and consistent communication
- set high expectations and demonstrate for all how to meet or exceed those expectations
- high academic performance
- ensure teachers feel supported in matters regarding student discipline and safety

Perceptions Strengths

After reviewing the Morgan Elementary 2023-2024 parent campus climate survey results the following strengths were observed:

- 90% of parents felt that the school promotes opportunities for families to volunteer.
- 91% of parents felt their student is safe at school.
- 96% of parents felt the school was clean and well maintained.

After reviewing the Morgan Elementary 2023-2024 staff campus climate survey results the following strengths were observed:

- 98% of the staff felt teachers in this school genuinely care about their students.
- 98% of the staff felt that alcohol, drugs, and vaping was not a problem.
- 100% of staff feel safe at school.

After reviewing the Morgan Elementary 2023-2024 student campus climate survey results the following strengths were observed:

- 95% of students state that teachers let them know what they need to do to do well in school.
- 96% of students state they respect the teachers at the school.
- 97% of students state that their parents/guardians ask about their day at school.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: With 1,120 students enrolled at Morgan Elementary during the 2023-2024 school year, only 218 parent responses were collected from families on the Campus Climate Survey. **Root Cause:** A low number of parents taking the survey.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year.

Root Cause 1: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 STAAR, 5th grade students scored lower in Meets and Masters in Reading, lower in Approaches and Masters in Math, and lower in Approaches, Meets, and Masters in Science, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year.

Root Cause 2: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year.

Root Cause 3: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- · Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card and accountability data
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data

- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- · School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- T-TESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

• Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data

Goals

Goal 1: By June 2025, Masters level performance in 3rd - 5th grades will increase by 10% as measured by the Reading and Math STAAR Assessment.

Performance Objective 1: Ensure high-quality TIER I Instruction in Math by implementing Math Workshop and Guided Math in Kindergarten through Fifth Grade.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 1: Professional Development for staff Digging Deep into Instructional Components and Resources:		Formative		
- Effective and explicit small group instruction - Effective use of math manipulatives	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthen guided math workshop implementation and increase student growth based on needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches	45%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Utilize Stemscopes Math as an instructional resource.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student math growth.	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coaches Administrators	60%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
Strategy 3 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 3: Implement Innovative School Day planning to promote professional learning communities.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthen math workshop to meet students' needs.	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers EB Coach Instructional Coach Administrators	60%	-		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Students who did not score Meets grade level or above on the Math STAAR will be placed in small group for intervention.		Formative	
(Targeted sub pops)	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student's individual needs are met while experiencing growth.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach	50%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Submit lesson plans and assessments for review and feedback.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of instructional practices in PK-5th grade.	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coach	50%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: All K-5th teachers will be allotted (1) 1/2 day each month for planning and data analysis.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective implementation of Tier 1 instruction	Feb	Apr	June
Targeted enrichment and intervention		-	
Increased GRA, Major/Minor assessments	60%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal			
Instructional Coach			
EB Specialist			
Reading Interventionist			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			

Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 7: Utilize Extended Learning Time for students to receive TIER II intervention and TIER III remediation. Students that are TIER I		Formative	
will be provided enrichment opportunities by classroom teachers.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fill in instruction gaps and meet the needs of all students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coaches	55%		
Reading Interventionist			
EB Coach			
Administrators			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 8: Regular review of data will be held in order to determine interventions including tutoring. The MTSS process will be utilized to		Formative	
document interventions and track progress of all students and student groups.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal	60%		
Instructional Coachers			
Teachers			
TELA D. C. V.			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 9 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 9: Principals and coaches will join the Teaching and Learning department on Learning Walks to learn skills and actions to improve		Formative	
Tier 1 instruction.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher capacity	100		
Increase in student achievement in Math	45%		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	4370		
Assistant Principals Coaches			
Teachers			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			

Strategy 10 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 10: Utilize Innovative School Day Paraprofessionals to provide targeted instruction based off student data.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement.	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Administrators	60%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 11 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 11: Utilize part time math tutor to provide small group intervention for students in need.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement. Individual student's needs met while achieving growth.	Feb	Apr	June
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3	55%		
Funding Sources: Part Time Tutor - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$13,530			
Strategy 12 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 12: Implement daily spiral review in 3rd-5th grade Math and Reading, and 5th grade Science		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement.	Feb	Apr	June
Individual student's needs met while achieving growth. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coaches Administrators	60%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Student Learning

Goal 1: By June 2025, Masters level performance in 3rd - 5th grades will increase by 10% as measured by the Reading and Math STAAR Assessment.

Performance Objective 2: Ensure high-quality TIER I Instruction in Reading by implementing Reading Workshop in Kindergarten through Fifth Grade.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 1: Utilize Extended Learning Time for students to receive TIER II intervention and TIER III remediation. Students that are TIER I		Formative	
will be provided enrichment opportunities by classroom teachers.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fill in instruction gaps and meet the needs of all students.		•	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	55%		
Instructional Coach Reading Interventionist			
Reading Interventionist EB Coach			
Administrators			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Track student progress in intervention using Progress Measuring Assessments at the 3rd and 6th week of intervention and Panther		Formative	
Watch progress monitoring meetings	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensuring all student needs are met.		-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	50%		
Instructional Coach Paradina Intermediation			
Reading Interventionist EB Coach			
Administrators			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Utilize unit planning to design individualized targeted small group instruction for classroom and extended learning time.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student growth in reading.	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		-	
Instructional Coach	50%		
Reading Interventionist EB Coach			
Administrators			
7 Millimotitions			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Morgan Elementary			Campus #146

Strategy 4 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: Professional Development for staff Digging Deep into Instructional Components and Resources:		Formative	
- Effective and explicit small group instruction- guided reading, strategy groups, and book clubs Effective implementation of literacy instructional strategies.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthen reading workshop implementation and increase student growth based on needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach Reading Interventionist EB Coach Administrators	50%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 5 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Students who did not score Meets grade level or above on the Reading STAAR will be placed in small group for intervention.		Formative	
(Target sub pops) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student's individual needs are met while experiencing growth.	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach	55%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 6 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews
Strategy 6: Implement Innovative School Day planning to promote professional learning communities.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthen reading workshop to meet students' needs.	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers EB Coach Instructional Coach Administrators	60%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 7 Details	For	rmative Revi	iews
Strategy 7: Submit lesson plans and assessments for review and feedback.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of instructional practices in PK-5th grade.	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach	50%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			

Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 8: All K-5th teachers will be allotted (1) 1/2 day each month for planning and data analysis.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective implementation of Tier 1 instruction Targeted enrichment and intervention Increased GRA, Major/Minor assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Coach EB Specialist Reading Interventionist	Feb 60%	Apr	June
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 9 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 9: Regular review of data will be held in order to determine interventions including tutoring. The MTSS process will be utilized to document interventions and track progress of all students and student groups.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement.	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Coachers Teachers TEA Priorities:	50%		
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 10 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 10: Principals and coaches will join the Teaching and Learning department on Learning Walks to learn skills and actions to improve		Formative	
Tier 1 instruction.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher capacity Increase in student achievement in Reading Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Coaches Teachers	50%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			

Strategy 11 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 11: Implement daily spiral review in 3rd-5th grade.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement.	Feb	Apr	June
Individual student's needs met while achieving growth.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coaches	60%		
Administrators			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue	;		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 2: By June 2025, at least 30% of our 3rd - 5th grade special education students will score Meets Grade Level on the Reading, and 25% will score Meets Grade Level on the Mathematics STAAR test to increase preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 1: Ensure high-quality TIER I instruction in the inclusion and resource classroom.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Ensure all teachers that provide support to special education students have appropriate materials/resources to enhance instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Utilization of materials/resources embedded in lesson plans and observed in classrooms.	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		-	
Instructional Coach	50%		
EB Coach	3070		
Reading Interventionist			
Administrators			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Provide differentiated learning opportunities for special education students.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Use data to support gaps are closing for special education students	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		-	
Instructional Coach	40%		
EB Coach	40%		
Reading Interventionist			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Special Education Inclusion- Resource teachers will attend two Innovative School Day unit planning meetings per month.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher capacity Increase in student achievement	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Teachers SPED Teacher Instructional Coach EB Coach Reading Interventionist Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3	35%		
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	nue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 2: By June 2025, at least 30% of our 3rd - 5th grade special education students will score Meets Grade Level on the Reading, and 25% will score Meets Grade Level on the Mathematics STAAR test to increase preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 2: Facilitate opportunities to remediate, intervene, and enrich learning.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: On going - professional development for staff digging into accommodations and co-teach model.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Implementation of Individualized Educational Plans	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: General and Special Education Teachers District Sped Support Staff Administrators	45%	•	
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Develop a master schedule that maximizes instructional time and supports the needs of students receiving special education		Formative	
services.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Minimal interruptions/breaks during instructional blocks			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	60%		
Instructional Coach Reading Interventionist			
Reading Interventionist EB Coach			
Administrators			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Special Education student review meetings will be conducted with special and general education teachers and administrators after		Formative	
progress reports to review failures and consider adjustments to IEPs.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective progress on IEPS		Г	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education Teachers Administrators	55%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			









Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 2: By June 2025, at least 30% of our 3rd - 5th grade special education students will score Meets Grade Level on the Reading, and 25% will score Meets Grade Level on the Mathematics STAAR test to increase preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 3: Establish professional learning communities to monitor the progress of each special education student.

Feb 30%	Formative Apr	June
	Apr	June
30%		
For	mative Revi	iews
Formative		
Feb	Apr	June
40%		
	40%	40%

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 3: By June 2025 Emergent 60% of Emergent Bilingual students will perform at or above grade level to close the achievement gap at Morgan.

Performance Objective 1: Implement direct instructional strategies to meet the needs of English Language Learners.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: EB Coach will work with teachers to register for the Supplemental Certification Exam Review and Certification test to achieve		Formative	
100% ESL certification	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Non-certified teachers registered for courses Staff Responsible for Monitoring: EB Coach Description: Statement of Statement	30%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Provide on-going Professional Development. Digging into increasing student discourse, linguistic accommodations, and new		Formative	
comer support.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student discourse Increase in teacher proficiency in implementing shelter instructional strategies			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Asst. Principal EB Specialist District Coordinators	45%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Funding Sources: Professional Development - 199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL - \$4,570			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: The emergent bilingual specialist will provide on-going coaching and modeling of lessons and instructional strategies.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher capacity	Feb	Apr	June
Increase in student achievement			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals	45%		
Assistant principals Instructional coaches			
Teachers			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: On-going literacy instruction support from Pre-K and Family Engagement Specialist.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student growth on CLI assessment and Pre-K 9 weeks checklist Increased teacher proficiency in the implementation of the Pre-K curriculum. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal	Feb	Apr	June
Instructional Coach Pre-K and Family Engagement Specialist Teachers			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontin	ue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 4: By June 2025, 90% of Kindergarten, 1st, Second Grade students will be reading at or above grade level as measured by end of year MAP fluency to ensure preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 1: Ensure high-quality TIER I instruction by implementing reading workshop in every Kindergarten, First and Second Grade classroom.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: A dedicated Resource Library will be utilized to provide instructional and professional resources to support differentiated		Formative	
instruction.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will routinely access the Resource Library for instructional materials that support			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	70%		
Literacy Coach Reading Interventionist			
EB Coach			
Administrators			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Faculty meetings for targeted professional development.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will utilize professional development to guide instruction during Reading Workshop,	Feb	Apr	June
Classroom Observations.		-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	75%		
Literacy Coach EB Coach			
Administrators			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Teachers will provide daily phonics instruction utilizing the UFLI program.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase encoding and decoding skills.	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			
Instructional Coaches	75%		
Administrators	13%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			

Strategy 4 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 4: Implement Innovative School Day planning to promote professional learning communities.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthen math workshop to meet students' needs.	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		•		
EB Coach	65%			
Instructional Coach	03%			
Administrators				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
Strategy 5 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 5: Submit lesson plans and assessments for review and feedback.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of instructional practices in PK-5th grade.	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		1		
Instructional Coach	65%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3	3.5			
Strategy 6 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 6: All K-5th teachers will be allotted (1) 1/2 day each month for planning and data analysis.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective implementation of Tier 1 instruction	Feb	Apr	June	
Targeted enrichment and intervention				
Increased GRA, Major/Minor assessments	60%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal				
Instructional Coach				
EB Specialist				
Reading Interventionist				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				

Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 7: Principals and coaches will join the Teaching and Learning department on Learning Walks to learn skills and actions to improve		Formative		
Tier 1 instruction.	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher capacity Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Coaches Teachers Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3	60%			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinu	e			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 4: By June 2025, 90% of Kindergarten, 1st, Second Grade students will be reading at or above grade level as measured by end of year MAP fluency to ensure preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 2: Facilitate opportunities to remediate, intervene, and enrich learning.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 1: Establish Extended Learning Time where students will receive TIER II intervention and TIER III remediation. Students that are		Formative		
TIER I will be provided enrichment opportunities by classroom teachers.	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of students needing TIER II and TIER III intervention, Students receiving TIER I will show academic growth on assessments				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	55%			
Literacy Coach				
Reading Interventionist				
EB Coach				
Administrators				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3	Fow	mativa Davi	0.22/0	
Strategy 2 Details		Formative Reviews		
Strategy 2: Track student progress in intervention using Progress Measuring Assessments at the 3rd and 6th week of intervention and Panther		Formative		
Watch progress monitoring meetings	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of students needing TIER II and TIER III intervention, Students receiving TIER I will show academic growth on assessments				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	55%			
Literacy Coach				
EB Coach				
Administrators				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
ategy 3: Utilize unit planning to design individualized targeted small group instruction for classroom and extended learning time.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student progress on learning objectives	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Literacy Coach Reading Interventionist EB Coach Administrators Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3	65%			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Disconti	inue			

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 4: By June 2025, 90% of Kindergarten, 1st, Second Grade students will be reading at or above grade level as measured by end of year MAP fluency to ensure preparedness for the next grade level and beyond.

Performance Objective 3: Early Literacy: To introduce and increase the verbal and cognitive skills of all Pre-K students using a variety of pre-reading and pre-writing activities, materials, and media will be utilized.

Evaluation Data Sources: Report card, Pre-K checklist, CLI Assessment, Lesson Plans, walk-through data, Smart Start Reports, writing conference portfolios and student writing samples.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Implementing and providing appropriate literacy materials and refining balanced literacy expectations.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 90% of Pre-K students will make satisfactory progress in the academic development of ELA	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators		-		
EB Coach	65%			
Teachers				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
Funding Sources: Pre-K Personnel - 199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated - \$74,906				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: On-going literacy instruction support from Pre-K and Family Engagement Specialist.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student growth on CLI assessment and Pre-K 9 weeks checklist	Feb	Apr	June	
Increased teacher proficiency in the implementation of the Pre-K curriculum.		-		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	70%			
Assistant Principal Instructional Coach				
Pre-K and Family Engagement Specialist				
Teachers				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ue			

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 5: By May 2025, fifth-grade students will score 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters as measured by the 2025 Science STAAR assessment.

Performance Objective 1: Ensure high-quality TIER I Instruction in Science in Kindergarten through Fifth Grade.

Evaluation Data Sources: Lesson Plans

Strategy 1 Details		Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Grade Level Teams will meet with campus and district coaches to collaborate and plan quality Tier 1 instruction using LCISD	d plan quality Tier 1 instruction using LCISD Formative			
best practices for students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson plans will reflect strategies implemented and be reflected in walkthroughs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coach Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3	Feb 45%	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Implement Innovative School Day planning to promote professional learning communities.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		1		
EB Coach	60%			
Instructional Coach	30%			
Administrators				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: Submit lesson plans and assessments for review and feedback.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of instructional practices in PK-5th grade.	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		1		
Instructional Coach	65%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: All PK-5th teachers will be allotted (1) 1/2 day each month for planning and data analysis.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective implementation of Tier 1 instruction Targeted enrichment and intervention Increased GRA, Major/Minor assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Coach EB Specialist Reading Interventionist Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3	Feb 65%	Apr	June	
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 5: Principals and coaches will join the Teaching and Learning department on Learning Walks to learn skills and actions to improve		Formative		
Tier 1 instruction.	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher capacity Increase in student achievement in Science				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	50%			
Assistant Principals				
Coaches				
Teachers				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 6: Utilize Innovative School Day Paraprofessionals to provide targeted instruction based off student data.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement.	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Administrators		-		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				

Strategy 7 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 7: Implement daily spiral review in 5th grade.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement.	Feb	Apr	June
Individual student's needs met while achieving growth. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coaches Administrators	55%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 5: By May 2025, fifth-grade students will score 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters as measured by the 2025 Science STAAR assessment.

Performance Objective 2: Establish a shared vision for collaboration, high expectations, and commitment to improve science academic performance.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will design lessons to incorporate daily hands-on experiences for all students. K-1: 80%, 2nd-3rd: 60%, 4th-5th: 50%		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved experiences for students.	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach Teachers	35%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Students will conduct investigations in the Science lab on a weekly basis.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved experiences for students.	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach Teachers	45%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: All Science assessments grades 2-5, will have multiple stimuli focusing on students' interpretation of charts, tables, graphs, and		Formative		
diagrams.	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will become familiar with multiple stimuli.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach	40%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: Regular review of 5th grade science assessment data to guide 5th grade science tutorial groups.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student science academic achievement	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Instructional Coach Teachers	50%		
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Create a Science vertical team to align instructional strategies across grade levels for highly tested TEKS that will meet once a		Formative	
semester	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters as measured by the 2025 Science STAAR assessment.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	50%		
Instructional Coach			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3			
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 6: Designate a teacher representative to serve on the Purple Track Science Task Force to address teaching new Science TEKS, Staff		Formative	
Development opportunities, Science Labs, and student progress monitoring.	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement Increase in teacher capacity			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher representative Administrators	50%		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Goal 5: By May 2025, fifth-grade students will score 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters as measured by the 2025 Science STAAR assessment.

Performance Objective 3: Facilitate opportunities to enrich learning.

Evaluation Data Sources: -Major Assessments

- Science MAP Scores
- STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Host a family STEAM night.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance on major assessments Increased performance on benchmarks Increased performance on MAP and STAAR Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach Administrators	Feb 45%	Apr	June	
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will utilize HMH and non-fiction texts that align with grade-level science TEKS to integrate science through the		Formative		
curriculum, emphasizing student talk and hands-on learning to support all student groups for a well-rounded education. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Promote cross-curricular learning and inquiry-based activities that promote writing and real-life	Feb	Apr	June	
application Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Instructional Coach Administrators	55%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3				
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue	e			

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2023-2024 3rd grade Math STAAR, students scored lower in the Approaches, Meets, and Masters, as compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

Problem Statement 2: On the 2023-2024 4th grade Math STAAR students scored lower in Approaches, Meets and Masters compared to the 2022-2023 school year. **Root Cause**: High quality Tier 1 and small group instruction, including differentiation and accountability for all students.

State Compensatory

Budget for Morgan Elementary

Total SCE Funds: \$88,436.57 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 2

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Personnel for Morgan Elementary

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Rochelle McKenney	PreK Aide	1
Vacancy	PreK Aide	1

Site-Based Advisory Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Non-Classroom Professional	Kelsey Marks	Counselot
Administrator	Sara Ballard	Assistant Principal
Community Representative	Susan Hall	Community Representative
Parent	Ashley Thayer	Parent
Parent	Sally Ducran	Parent
Business Representative	Matt Schomburg	Business Representative
Classroom Teacher	Meredith Struble	5th Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Leslie Hunt	2nd Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Lisa Andres	1st grade teacher
Non-classroom Professional	Carly Van Praag	Instructional Coach
Non-classroom Professional	Elizabeth Pena	Counselor
Administrator	Jana Simoneaux	Principal

Campus Funding Summary

			199 PIC 24 State Compensatory Ed (SCE) Accelerated		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	11	Part Time Tutor		\$13,530.00
4	3	1	Pre-K Personnel		\$74,906.00
		•		Sub-Total	\$88,436.00
			Bu	dgeted Fund Source Amount	\$88,436.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			199 PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
3	1	2	Professional Development		\$4,570.00
		•		Sub-Total	\$4,570.00
			Bu	dgeted Fund Source Amount	\$4,570.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$93,006.00
				Grand Total Spent	\$93,006.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00